

Animal Rights / Welfare - The Truth

MUST READ ARTICLES FOR EVERY SERIOUS FANCIER

Beasts of Burden - Capitalism * Animals * Communism - Zabalaza Books

Animal Rights or Animal Welfare? - Humanewatch.org

Guilt: It's Not Just For Jews and Catholics Anymore (Purebred Dog Owners Can Play) - Susi Szeremy

Encyclopedia of Animal Rights - Online book, can be downloaded in PDF.

The History of Animal Law, Part 1 (1972 - 1987)

In Part 1 there is a chapter called - "The Prosecution of Dr Taub"
also known as the Silver Spring Monkeys.

I heard Dr Taub speak at the Animal Agriculture Conference a year or two ago. He was basically set up by PETA and ARs. Here is an article by Wesley Smith that summarizes what happened to Dr Taub. It is interesting to compare the two versions to show what the ARs do - and how they select which facts to use to portray their viewpoint. A good lesson in making sure you always look for what is not there in any of their writings. (Contributed by Alice)

They basically destroyed his life and his research.

This part of the story IS NOT in the history.

The History of Animal Law, Part II (1985 - 2011)

Why Animals Don't Have Rights!!

The Phrase "animal rights" sounds pleasant enough on the surface.

Kind-hearted people the world over love animals and wish to see them treated humanely. Abuse and neglect of owned animals is illegal in every state of the union. We as a society value and promote the welfare of animals.

The very use of the word "humane" tells us that compassionate treatment of animals and each other is inherently an ideal related to human values , ethics and morals.

However upon close examination, we find that the concept of "animal rights" is invalid:

"Rights" is defined as "a just claim or title, whether legal, prescriptive, or moral"

What specific "rights" are animals entitled to claim, under a system of justice? This must be determined in order to discuss the situation intelligently.

Here is a listing of some commonly-accepted "rights":

Right to life

Right to liberty (freedom from slavery or serfdom)

Right to own personal property

Right to vote

Right to free speech

Freedom of religion

Freedom from torture

We can't reasonable expect for animals to participate in free speech, or in political system whereby they vote, or by selection of religion. Rights are generally civil and political concepts. In order for animals to have rights, they must have an established system of government. They must have laws for which there is punishment determined by a system of justice.

Animals don't have laws. The concept of justice does not exist for them.

They don't live by a moral code. They may have concept of possession of property, but no reciprocal idea of theft being "wrong".

In order for rights to exist , inherent corresponding responsibilities must also exist. For instance, if one expects the right to vote, thane on must abide by societal rules and laws that have been instituted in order to maintain that right.

There are also social rights concepts such as sexual equality, racial equality , rights to education and to health care. These rights are agreed upon by the members of society as beneficial and just for the group. Animals have no understanding of or participation in abstract concepts like equal rights based on gender, race or age.

The concept of animal rights ignores the very nature of animals. Animals fight for territory or partners. They kill and eat each other. They rape , pillage and plunder at will. Humans have established official codes of conduct that prohibit such activities that impinge on the "rights" of others. While the very nature of "humane" treatment prohibits any human -caused torture of animals, there is no such natural prohibition against torture in the animal world.

Animals are incapable of moralizing ; and even capable, should the lion be told that he should not eat the lamb? Should the very process of natural selection and evolution be altered to conservation of the "rights" of prey to avoid being someone's dinner? Of course not.

Most people do however believe in the concept of humane stewardship toward animals. We believe that the animals we own should be treated with compassion and mercy. We believe that animals in the wild should be conserved from the effects of mankind that might serve to decimate their populations. This concept of stewardship of animals or animal welfare is vastly different from the concept of animals having "rights".

Animal Rights activists have waged a campaign to convince the public that animals ownership is akin to slavery: that breeding is not different than rape: that animals on farms are the more equivalent of Nazi holocaust victims. Such Compassions are deeply offensive to anyone who cherishes genuinely "humane" ethics and values.

The idea that animals must be "free" from human use ignores our human biology. We are not herbivores,withb multiple stomachs and lengthy intestines, who ruminate a grassy diet, we are omnivores who rely on some meat and animal products in our diet to maintain our health. We have evolved in this manner. We co-evolved with dogs, who hunted alongside us and helped us to herd our flocks so that we could both enjoy our natural diets.

Those who insist that "ANIMAL RIGHTS" is a concept worthy of support may do so to obtain self -satisfaction derived from a sense of moral superiority, but their logic and intelligence is certainly questionable.

ANIMAL RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS.....What do they really want to do?

By: Paul Root

Let me set the tone for this article by a few quotes right out of the mouths of the leaders of the Animal Rights Movement.

These "Pearls of Wisdom" should put a red flag up on any calm and sunny day.

"Pet ownership is an absolutely abysmal situation brought about by human manipulation." Ingrid Newkirk PETA (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals)

"As the surplus of cats and dogs (artificially engineered by Centuries of forced breeding) declined, eventually companion animals would be phased out, and we would return to a more symbiotic relationship-- enjoyment at a distance." Ingrid Newkirk.....again

"The cat, like the dog, must disappear....We should cut the domestic cat free from our dominance by neutering, neutering, and more neutering, until our pathetic version of the cat ceases to exist." John Bryant PETA.

"My dream is that people will come to view eating meat as cannibalism!" Henry Spyra, director Animal Rights International.

"Man is the most dangerous, destructive, selfish, and unethical animal on earth." Michael W. Fox, VP Humane Society of the United States.

So my friends, where does it say they care for the animals? John Bryant of PETA wants to systematically eliminate both dogs and cats from the planet. In a review of a book by Australian Animal Rights activist Pete Singer...Pete made the following comment to the interviewer....**"We are not especially interested in animals. Neither of us had ever been inordinately fond of dogs, cats, or horses in the way that many people are. We didn't "love" animals!"** What are you interested in, Pete? In the case of PETA, they are on record that we as humans shouldn't own, eat, wear, or hunt animals. PETA, its members, and the Umbrella organizations such as the Animal Defense League, the Animal Liberation Front, the Humane Society of the US, and more than this writer cares to list....comprise the Animal Rights Movement that really wants YOU to stop eating meat, hunting, fishing, owning pets, and in general....all of the things we've enjoyed from animals for the past hundreds plus years.

These organizations have wreaked havoc on a part of Society that doesn't really deserve the aggravation. One of these organizations, the Animal Defense League, has a Mission statement. In that statement, it subscribes to civil disobedience, vocal demonstration, and the support of underground groups such as the Animal Liberation Front. The ALF is on the FBI's Terrorist List. The ADL says while it doesn't condone clandestine or illegal activities, it does SUPPORT those actions, and will often act as the spokesperson for the ALF in justifying acts of economic sabotage against Animal Abuse Industries. You and I would call it a felony. You can go to a Web Site on the Internet called EnviroLink and find literally hundreds of such organizations with an equally alarming "Mission Statement".

What is their objective and how do they intend to achieve it?

THE ANIMAL RIGHTS AGENDA SETS FORTH THE GOALS FOR ENDING THE USE OF ANIMALS